

1 Fw/ 2/62
Box-508



Application No: **09/677,493**

Appeal No: **2009-1164**

Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: **Baoquoc N. To**, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way
San Jose, CA 95133
Tel: (408) 729-1282

E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

June 29, 2010

Mr. Baoquoc N. To
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Reply to Your "Response to Rule 312 Communication"

Dear Mr. To,

This letter is to reply to your "Response to Rule 312 Communication" mailed to me on June 22, 2010. I could not find the fee for amendment under Rule 37 CFR 1.21. If I need to pay any amendment fee, please let me know the exact rule and amount.

Enclosed are the clean version of amended new Claims 1-5 and a floppy disk. I canceled claims 1 & 3 and rewrite claims 2 & 4-7 as you advised in the earlier communications.

I sent you a letter and the clean version and marked version of the amended specification of my patent application with original Claims 1-7 and an electric floppy disk with the clean version files on June 24, 2010. I have made some minor amendments to the specification including claims and figures by adding or deleting some detail descriptions of my invention, but I have not introduced any new matter. I insist that all Claims 1-7 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and cannot be rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 1-7 should be all allowed by your office. I believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependent relationship is the best way to show the value of this invention. I require your office to approve my amended specification as soon as possible. Once I get your approval, I will pay the patent issue fee of \$755.